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This article (2023) demonstrates the potential of ML and DL methods to aid in diagnosing mental illnesses. The authors cite “the wide possibilities of using DL methods for mental health diagnosis with good results”, as one team developed “end-to-end CNN architecture show[ing] excellent precision (99.76%), Recall (99.74%), F1-Score (99.75%), accuracy (99.72%) and AUC (99.75%) in a three-way classification task.” The article cites challenges, including that “[o]nly four search databases (Google Scholar, PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science) were used to collect data” and that “the focus was limited to seven mental health diseases” in their analysis.
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This article (2024) explores diagnostic error in mental health settings, asserting that it “is well understood to be a problem.” While “various avenues for future research and development” have been suggested regarding “missed, wrong, delayed and disparate diagnosis of common mental disorders,” “a lack of clear consensus on how to conceptualise, define and measure errors in diagnosis will pose a barrier to advancement.” They then point to numerous statistics concerning the accuracy of diagnosis and findings on intersectional dynamics concerning age, gender, race, and other factors that may affect accuracy in diagnosing anxiety disorders, ADHD, ASD, mood disorders, and schizophrenia.
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This proposition (2021) explores digital assessment tools for mental health screening and diagnosis, noting that, in spite of their rapid growth in number, “little is known about their diagnostic accuracy.” The authors cite challenges patients may face “disclosing mental health difficulties in person” due to social stigma and geographical barriers. They also note the “acceptability and efficacy of digital platforms for improving the reach, quality, and impact of mental health care,” and, coupled with “strong interest” from patients in engaging with digital assessment tools, digital mental health assessment options appear to be growing in feasibility. Because “little attention and effort have been put into establishing their diagnostic accuracy,” it is critical that new digital tools involve an earnest, data-driven approach in their creation.
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This article (2023) offers a framework by which it conceptualizes digital mental health innovations and explores their efficacy and feasibility of introduction in the broader clinical ecosystem. It notes several key outcomes: “traditional diagnostic systems” may best suit digital approaches, digital approaches “require organisational change” rather than total replacement/automation of traditional methods, “unique ethical issues” warrant the design of “appropriate studies to measure the effectiveness of implementation” of digital solutions, “[a]ccessibility and codesign” of solutions should be considered with regards to their longevity, and “[s]tandardised guidelines” will ensure effective clinical implementation.